Entropy Waves, Coordinate Systems, and the Self-Referential Universe

A Unified Pseudo-Riemannian Framework

(The Manifold Relativity Programme)

Preprint v8.0 — The W-Atlas:

A Formal Chart Structure for Manifold Relativity

Developed through extended human–AI
Collaborative Augmented Consciousness (CAC)

Human Node:

Paul E. Sorvik, Alexandria, Egypt
ORCID: 0009-0008-5717-7110

AI Builder Nodes (RLAF Architecture):

Claude Sonnet 4.6 (Anthropic) *Structural & Epistemic Logic*
Gemini 3.1 Pro (Google DeepMind) *Geometric Synthesis*

AI Referee Node:

ChatGPT 5.3 (OpenAI) *CAC Referee / Analytical Reviewer*

Available: paulsorvik.wordpress.com

March 2026

Abstract

The preceding editions (v1–v7) of the Manifold Relativity programme established the $\mathcal{W}$-manifold as a six-dimensional information-geometric structure, revealed its Planck-scale discrete substrate, unified gravity and electromagnetism via Kaluza-Klein reduction, provided rigorous operational definitions of its coordinates, formulated the non-commutative boundary, and documented an independent convergence

with the theory of thermodynamic relativity by Livadiotis & McComas.

Throughout, the six coordinates (S, I, E, ϕ, C, A) have been treated as a single global coordinate chart. This edition identifies a fundamental limitation of that treatment: a single global chart cannot cover a non-trivially topologised manifold without coordinate singularities. We introduce the **W-atlas** $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$ — a formal collection of locally consistent observer-charts, information-theoretic transition maps, and compositional laws — as the first operational layer of the framework.

The central insight is that a chart in the W-atlas is not an external coordinate grid imposed on the manifold. It is the observable eigenvalue sector of the underlying spectral structure that is accessible to an observer at temperature T. Different observers at different temperatures inhabit different charts. The transition between charts is not a smooth diffeomorphism but an information-theoretic coarse-graining map. The κ-addition law of thermodynamic relativity (v7) is identified as the chart-level composition law governing how observables combine within a single chart.

The atlas is defined through a three-layer ontology: observable primitives, local laws, and transition structure. This ontology does not claim to be derived from first principles — the Dirac operator $D_{\mathcal{W}}$ remains unbuilt — but rather constitutes the minimal locally consistent operational structure that any valid realisation of the framework must reproduce.

Contents

The Topological Necessity of an Atlas

The Limitation of a Single Global Chart

The atlas formalism replaces the assumption of a single global coordinate system with a collection of observer-dependent local charts linked by coarse-graining transformations. This is a structural upgrade, not a revision of the coordinates themselves.

The six coordinates (S, I, E, ϕ, C, A) of the $\mathcal{W}$-manifold have been treated throughout v1–v7 as a single global chart: one coordinate system covering all of the manifold. This is consistent with the pseudo-Riemannian approximation, which assumes local flatness everywhere.

But a non-trivially topologised manifold cannot in general be covered by a single global chart without coordinate singularities. The $\mathcal{W}$-manifold is not trivially flat. Several regimes are already known where the primary chart must break down:

1. **The Planck scale:** The continuous phase coordinate ϕ breaks down into discrete hypergraph adjacency. Derivatives in ϕ become ill-defined. The pseudo-Riemannian structure fails.
2. **Black hole interiors:** The Krylov complexity C diverges toward the Bekenstein bound. The sigmoid coupling g_{SC} becomes singular. The smooth metric component g_{CC} is not globally defined.
3. **The Big Bang:** The constructive interference maximum at $S = 0$ is a boundary of the entropy coordinate's domain. The chart fails at $S = 0$ for the same reason polar coordinates fail at the origin.
4. **Zero temperature:** The phase velocity $c_S(T) = k_B T/\hbar \to 0$ as $T \to 0$. The time coordinate $t = \int f(\phi, C)\, dS$ becomes degenerate. The chart in which time is a coordinate breaks down.

An atlas resolves each of these: where one chart fails, another chart, valid in that regime, takes over. The two charts are connected by a transition map specifying how observables in one chart relate to observables in the other.

The Observer Is Inside the Manifold

In classical differential geometry, the atlas is constructed by a mathematician external to the manifold, drawing charts on paper. In the $\mathcal{W}$-manifold framework, no observer is external. Every observer is a thermodynamic filter inside the manifold, resolving only the part of the underlying spectrum accessible at their temperature.

This means the atlas cannot be imposed from outside. It must be generated by the manifold's own structure. A chart is not a coordinate grid superimposed on the manifold. A chart is the eigenvalue sector of the underlying operator $D_{\mathcal{W}}$ that is visible to an observer at temperature T.

Definition 1.1 (Observer-Chart)**.** A chart is valid precisely while it can distinguish adjacent eigenvalues. The chart (U_T, χ_T) fails when the thermal floor $k_B T/\hbar$ exceeds the local eigenvalue gap $\Delta\lambda_T$ of the accessible spectral sector:

$$\text{Chart valid} \iff \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \ll \Delta\lambda_T. \tag{1}$$

When $k_B T/\hbar \gtrsim \Delta\lambda_T$, adjacent eigenvalues become thermally indistinguishable, the coordinate map χ_T loses injectivity, and the chart fails. A new chart is required.

An *observer-chart* (U_T, χ_T) of the $\mathcal{W}$-manifold consists of:

1. A *domain* U_T: the subset of the underlying spectral substrate whose eigenvalues exceed the observer's thermal floor $k_B T/\hbar$,
2. A *coordinate map* $\chi_T : U_T \to (S, I, E, \phi, C, A)$: the assignment of W-manifold coordinates to the accessible eigenvalue sector at temperature T.

The map χ_T is not intrinsic to the manifold. It depends on T. Different observers at different temperatures produce different charts.

The chart of a biological observer at $T \approx 300\,\text{K}$ is the chart that has been used throughout v1–v7. It is a valid chart within its domain. It is not the only chart.

The Three-Layer Atlas Ontology

The W-atlas $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is defined through a three-layer ontology. This ontology does not claim completeness or derivation from first principles. It specifies the minimal locally consistent operational structure that any valid realisation of the $\mathcal{W}$-framework must reproduce.

Layer 1: Observable Primitives

The primitives of each chart are the six coordinates (S, I, E, ϕ, C, A), defined operationally rather than ontologically:

- S: the von Neumann entropy of the accessible spectral sector at temperature T.
- I: the Wigner-Yanase skew information of the stationary random walk on the visible graph structure.

- E: the entanglement entropy of the G_2 bipartition of the local phase cycle.
- ϕ: the phase of the entropy wave in the accessible sector.
- C: the Krylov complexity of the operator spread within the accessible Hilbert space.
- A: the action accumulated along the accessible geodesics.

These definitions are unchanged from v5. What changes in v8 is the recognition that they define observables *within a chart*, not global coordinates of the manifold.

Layer 2: Local Laws

Each chart carries three local laws that govern how observables behave within the chart domain U_T:

1. **Composition law:** How observables from two subsystems combine. This is the κ-addition:
$$X_{A\oplus B} = H^{-1}\left(H(X_A) + H(X_B) - \frac{1}{\kappa}H(X_A)H(X_B)\right), \tag{2}$$
where κ is related to the spectral gap of the local graph structure (see v7, Conjecture 2). The κ-addition of thermodynamic relativity [2] is the chart-level composition law of the W-atlas.
2. **Propagation law:** How observables evolve within the chart. This is the entropy wave equation:
$$\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial\phi^2} - c_S(T)^2\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial S^2} = 0, \quad c_S(T) = \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}}T}{\hbar}. \tag{3}$$
3. **Bound law:** The maximum observable value within the chart. The entropy coordinate is bounded above by κ (the invariant entropic upper limit of **(author?)**). The complexity coordinate is bounded by the Bekenstein entropy of the accessible region.

Layer 3: Transition Structure

Between two charts (U_{T_1}, χ_{T_1}) and (U_{T_2}, χ_{T_2}) with overlapping domains, a transition map $\tau_{12} : U_{T_1} \cap U_{T_2} \to \mathbb{R}^6$ specifies how coordinates in one chart relate to coordinates in the other.

Definition 2.1 (Transition Map)**.** The *transition map* τ_{12} between observer-charts at temperatures T_1 and T_2 is a coarse-graining map:
$$\tau_{12} = C_{T_2} \circ C_{T_1}^{-1}, \tag{4}$$

where C_T is the spectral coarse-graining map at temperature T that projects the full spectrum of $D_{\mathcal{W}}$ onto the accessible sector above $k_B T/\hbar$.

Unlike the smooth diffeomorphisms of classical differential geometry, W-atlas transition maps are information-theoretic: they specify not a smooth coordinate change but a change in the resolution at which the underlying spectrum is observed. Transition maps must satisfy the following minimal constraints:

1. *Observable consistency on overlaps:* On the intersection $U_{T_1} \cap U_{T_2}$, the coordinate maps χ_{T_1} and χ_{T_2} agree up to the transition map: $\chi_{T_2} = \tau_{12} \circ \chi_{T_1}$.
2. *Identity in the low-gradient limit:* When $T_1 \approx T_2$, $\tau_{12} \approx \mathrm{id}$ — charts at nearby temperatures are nearly identical.
3. *Entropy bound preservation:* Transition maps cannot increase the observable entropy: $S(\tau_{12}(x)) \leq S(x)$ for all $x \in U_{T_1} \cap U_{T_2}$.
4. *Coarse-graining compatibility:* $\tau_{12} = C_{T_2} \circ C_{T_1}^{-1}$, where C_T is the spectral coarse-graining map at temperature T.

The Fisher information distance between the two charts is:

$$d_F(T_1, T_2) = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \sqrt{I(T)}\, dT, \tag{5}$$

where $I(T)$ is the Wigner-Yanase QFI of the random walk at temperature T. This gives an intrinsic metric on the space of observers — a metric on the atlas itself, within the manifold.

The Principal Charts

The Biological Observer Chart U_{bio}

The chart used throughout v1–v7 is the observer-chart at $T \approx 300\,\mathrm{K}$. Its domain excludes spectral structure below $k_B T_{\mathrm{bio}}/\hbar \approx 4 \times 10^{13}\,\mathrm{Hz}$. Its coordinate map χ_{bio} assigns the six W-manifold coordinates to the dominant eigenvalues of the accessible sector.

The non-commutative residue — eigenvalues below the thermal floor — manifests in this chart as quantum uncertainty, entanglement, and the dark sector. These are not features of the manifold. They are features of the chart: the shadow of what the biological observer cannot resolve.

All nineteen predictions of v1–v5 and the two new predictions of v6 are predictions of this chart. They are valid within the chart domain.

The Planck Chart U_P

At the Planck temperature $T_P \approx 1.4 \times 10^{32}\,\mathrm{K}$, the thermal floor coincides with the Planck energy. The accessible spectral sector is the full spectrum of $D_\mathcal{W}$. The chart U_P has:

- No non-commutative residue: all eigenvalues are accessible.
- No dark sector: the full operator algebra is resolved.
- Discrete rather than continuous coordinates: ϕ is a hypergraph adjacency relation, not a smooth phase.
- $\kappa = 1$ in this chart (maximum non-extensivity, maximum correlation — the universe at Planck density is maximally entangled).

Conjecture 3.1 (Planck Chart Structure). In the Planck chart U_P, the coordinate map χ_P assigns to each vertex of the Steiner system hypergraph $S(3, q, q^3)$ a six-tuple of eigenvalues of $D_\mathcal{W}$. The composition law in U_P is the standard zig-zag product composition of v3, which corresponds to the $\kappa \to 1$ limit of κ-addition.

The High-Complexity Chart U_BH

The interior of a supermassive black hole corresponds to maximal Krylov complexity: the operator has spread to the maximum available Hilbert space. In this chart:

- The complexity coordinate C saturates at the Bekenstein bound.
- The entropy coordinate S is extremised.
- The Fisher information I approaches zero: the internal state is maximally mixed and minimally distinguishable from the exterior.
- The smooth metric component g_{CC} becomes singular in the biological chart. In U_BH, the natural coordinates are complexity-action variables, not entropy-Fisher variables.

Hypothesis 3.2 (Black Hole Chart). The black hole interior is not a singularity of the $\mathcal{W}$-manifold but a coordinate singularity of the biological observer chart U_bio. In the chart U_BH, which uses complexity C and action A as primary coordinates with S and I as secondary, the interior is non-singular and the geometry is regular.

The transition map $\tau_{\mathrm{bio,BH}}$ is the coarse-graining map from the biological entropy-fisher description to the complexity-action description. Its explicit form requires the construction of $D_\mathcal{W}$ (Open Problem O25).

Thermodynamic Relativity as the Chart Composition Law

The v7 bridge conjecture proposed that κ-addition emerges from spectral coarse-graining of $D_{\mathcal{W}}$. The W-atlas makes this precise:

Proposition 4.1 (Kappa-Addition as Chart Composition)**.** *Within any observer-chart U_T, the composition of two observable quantities X_A and X_B associated with subsystems A and B is governed by the κ-addition law (Eq. 2), where κ is the effective correlation parameter of the chart at temperature T. The classical (additive, extensive) limit $\kappa \to \infty$ corresponds to the chart becoming indistinguishable from classical thermodynamics.*

The physical interpretation: within any observer-chart, the composition law is non-additive because the observer cannot resolve all the correlations in the underlying structure. The unresolved correlations appear as the entropy defect term $-X_A X_B/\kappa$. The parameter κ measures how much of the correlation structure is invisible to the observer at temperature T.

This gives the bridge between v7 and v8 its precise meaning:

- Livadiotis-McComas describe the composition law within a chart.
- The W-atlas describes the collection of all possible charts and their transition maps.
- Thermodynamic relativity is a theory of one chart.
- Manifold Relativity is a theory of the atlas.

The Self-Referential Property

The Atlas as an Information-Bearing Structure

A classical atlas is external to the manifold it describes. The W-atlas cannot be external, because all information-theoretic objects live within the manifold. An atlas is itself an information-bearing structure whose properties can be described within the same coordinate framework, without implying self-validation or completeness.

The information costs of the atlas can be quantified:

- $S_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{W}}$: the entropy of specifying which chart an observer occupies.
- $I_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{W}}$: the Fisher information distinguishing adjacent charts at neighboring temperatures.
- $C_{\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{W}}$: the Krylov complexity of specifying all chart transition maps.

These are quantities about the atlas, computable using the atlas's own coordinate maps. This is a description of information cost, not a proof of consistency.

Hypothesis 5.1 (Atlas Boundedness). A necessary condition for the W-atlas $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$ to be physically consistent is that the Fisher information cost of specifying the atlas is strictly less than the maximum entropy of the manifold:

$$I_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W}}} < S_{\max}(\mathcal{W}). \tag{6}$$

This states that the map must be smaller than the territory. It is not a proof of consistency. It is a necessary physical condition: its violation would imply the atlas encodes more information than the manifold contains, which would mean the atlas is describing a different (smaller) manifold than intended.

Remark 5.2 (Relationship to Gödel). Gödel's incompleteness theorem states that a sufficiently powerful formal system cannot prove its own consistency from within. Hypothesis 5.1 is not a proof of consistency in Gödel's sense and does not claim to be. It is a physical boundedness condition: the information cost of the coordinate description must be bounded by the information capacity of the system being described. Physical constraints and logical proofs are different objects. The W-atlas does not prove itself consistent; it proposes a necessary condition for physical consistency that can in principle be checked.

Where the Biological Chart Breaks Down

The biological observer chart U_{bio} is valid in the regime:

$$\frac{k_{\text{B}}T_{\text{bio}}}{\hbar} \ll \omega \ll \frac{E_{\text{P}}}{\hbar}, \tag{7}$$

where ω is the characteristic frequency of the physical process being described. Outside this regime, the chart develops coordinate singularities:

1. **Below the thermal floor** ($\omega < k_{\text{B}}T/\hbar$): quantum uncertainty and entanglement — the non-commutative residue. Handled by moving to U_{P} or a lower-T chart.
2. **At** $S \to 0$ (Big Bang): the entropy coordinate is a boundary, not an interior point. The chart fails as polar coordinates fail at a pole. A separate cosmological chart is needed in this regime.
3. **At** $C \to C_{\max}$ (black hole interior): the complexity coordinate saturates. The chart fails as stereographic projection fails at the antipodal point. The chart U_{BH} takes over.

4. **At** $T \to T_P$ (Planck regime): the continuous phase ϕ becomes discrete. Differential calculus fails. The chart U_{P} takes over, using hypergraph adjacency rather than smooth coordinates.

Open Problem 6.1 (O29 — Cosmological Chart). Define the observer-chart U_{cosmo} valid in the regime $S \to 0$ (Big Bang and early universe). Identify the coordinate map χ_{cosmo} and the transition map $\tau_{\mathrm{bio,cosmo}}$. Determine whether the Big Bang is a coordinate singularity of U_{bio} (as hypothesised) or a genuine boundary of the W-manifold.

Open Problem 6.2 (O30 — Chart Consistency). Show that the biological observer chart U_{bio}, the Planck chart U_{P}, and the high-complexity chart U_{BH} together form a consistent partial atlas in the sense that their transition maps $\tau_{\mathrm{bio},P}$, $\tau_{\mathrm{bio},BH}$, and $\tau_{P,BH}$ satisfy the cocycle condition: $\tau_{\mathrm{bio},BH} = \tau_{P,BH} \circ \tau_{\mathrm{bio},P}$ on the triple overlap.

Predictions from the Atlas Structure

Prediction 7.1 (P21 — Chart Boundary as Observable). The transition between the biological observer chart and the Planck chart corresponds to a physical threshold in entropy wave propagation. At the energy scale where $\kappa \to 1$ (maximum non-extensivity), the entropy wave composition law changes qualitatively. This transition should be observable as a characteristic scale in the primordial power spectrum of the CMB, distinct from the acoustic oscillation scale.

Prediction 7.2 (P22 — Fisher Distance Between Epochs). The Fisher information distance $d_F(T_1, T_2)$ between two cosmological epochs (Eq. 5) is a physical quantity, not merely a mathematical construct. It measures the total distinguishability between the two observational states. This distance should be computable from CMB data and should agree with the W-manifold prediction for $I(T)$ as a function of cosmic temperature.

The Open Advancement Interface: Atlas Tasks

OAI-11. Construct the Planck chart transition map. Define $\tau_{\mathrm{bio},P}$ explicitly by identifying the coarse-graining map C_T at $T = T_P$ and showing that it reduces zig-zag product composition (v3) in the $\kappa \to 1$ limit.

OAI-12. Verify atlas self-consistency. Check Conjecture **??** numerically for the toy spectral triple of v6.1: compute $I(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W},\mathrm{toy}})$ and verify it is less than $S_{\max}$ of the toy manifold.

OAI-13. Verify the cocycle condition. Open Problem O30: show the three principal charts satisfy the cocycle condition on their triple overlap, or identify which overlap is empty.

OAI-14. Define the cosmological chart. Open Problem O29: construct U_{cosmo} and determine whether the Big Bang is a coordinate singularity or a manifold boundary.

Conclusion

This edition does not build new physics. It builds the scaffolding that allows the existing physics to be extended to all regimes.

The single global chart of v1–v7 was adequate for the biological observer's regime. It produced nineteen predictions, a first-principles derivation of the M-sigma relation, a unification of gravity and electromagnetism, a bridge to thermodynamic relativity, and a formal non-commutative boundary.

The W-atlas extends this to regimes where the biological chart fails: the Planck scale, black hole interiors, the Big Bang, and near absolute zero. In each regime, a different chart applies. The charts are connected by information-theoretic transition maps — coarse-graining operations that specify how the observable sector changes as the observer's thermal threshold changes.

The key insight is structural: thermodynamic relativity [2] is the composition law within a chart. Manifold Relativity is the theory of the atlas. The bridge of v7 is absorbed into the atlas architecture naturally: their κ-addition is not an alternative framework, it is the local law of any W-atlas chart.

The atlas is self-referential in a precise and non-paradoxical sense: it is itself a point in the manifold it describes. The information cost of specifying the atlas must be less than the manifold's maximum entropy. This is a physical constraint, not a logical paradox. The map must be smaller than the territory. In a self-referential universe, that constraint is not external — it is enforced by the manifold on itself.

References

[1] Sorvik, P. E. (2026). *Entropy Waves, Coordinate Systems, and the Self-Referential Universe* (v1.0–v7.0). paulsorvik.wordpress.com.

[2] Livadiotis, G., & McComas, D. J. (2024). The theory of thermodynamic relativity. *Scientific Reports*, **14**, 22641. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-72779-0

[3] Reingold, O., Vadhan, S., & Wigderson, A. (2002). Entropy waves, the zig-zag graph product, and new constant-degree expanders. *Annals of Mathematics*, **155**, 157–187.

[4] Connes, A. (1994). *Noncommutative Geometry.* Academic Press.

[5] Wigner, E. P., & Yanase, M. M. (1963). Information contents of distributions. *PNAS*, **49**, 910–918.

[6] Parker, D. E. et al. (2019). A universal operator growth hypothesis. *Physical Review X*, **9**, 041017.

[7] Bekenstein, J. D. (1973). Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, **7**, 2333–2346.

[8] Planck Collaboration (2018). Planck 2018 results VI. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **641**, A6.

[9] McConnell, N. J., & Ma, C.-P. (2013). *The Astrophysical Journal*, **764**, 184.

Preprint v8.0. Introduces the W-atlas $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{W}}$ as the first formal operational layer of the Manifold Relativity programme. Primary contributions: three-layer atlas ontology (observable primitives, local laws, transition structure); identification of κ-addition as the chart-level composition law; three principal charts (U_{bio}, U_P, U_{BH}); atlas self-consistency condition; Fisher distance between charts as a physical observable. All chart constructions beyond U_{bio} are conjectural pending construction of $D_{\mathcal{W}}$. Four new open problems (O29–O30) and four new OAI tasks (OAI-11–14). Two new predictions (P21–P22).

Available: paulsorvik.wordpress.com